EXECUTIVE

Appendix 1

Committee 10th March 2010



Grants Assessment Scoring 2010/11

Name	of applicant:
Amou	nt of funding requested:
Stage This co	One: onfirms that the application meets the basic application criteria:
	Clear evidence of operating within the VCS Information that matches Companies House/Charity Commission records. Annual accounts/bank statements (not required for start-up grant) Confirmation of a bank/building society account in organisation's name Confirmation that at least two unrelated signatures are required for withdrawals
The pr	oposed project:
	Is a new project not previously funded by RBC Is not for the purpose of political or religious activity Could not be funded out of organisational reserves or other resources Will be delivered during 2010/11 Will operate in Redditch Borough on behalf of Borough residents Has a strong link to one of the six application themes Has clear objectives

Stage Two

Grant applications are scored on the evidence provided against each of ten predetermined criteria. The scoring is weighted to favour sustainable, well –structured projects that will have a lasting impact.

The final score is reduced according to the total amount of money requested, to take account of the requirement for applications for larger sums of money to provide more detailed information as part of their application.

The Assessment is undertaken as a group exercise involving no fewer than 3 Members. Panel members make a judgement based on the 4 point scale which is:-

- A. No.
- B. Only brief details to support this.
- C. Yes but could be improved.
- D. Yes and is comprehensive.

Section 1: Background to the project The project		A(0)	B(1)	C(3)	D(5)
1.	has clearly set out its aims and aspirations.				
2.	supports local priorities (in addition to identified theme).				
3.	is low risk to the Council				
		Section	Score	(/1	5)
Section 2: Project Planning The project		A(0)	B(2)	C(4)	D(6)
4.	has a clear and robust financial outline				
5.	addresses the needs of people suffering social or economic disadvantage				
6.	gives clear details on how the project will be structured, co-ordinated and promoted.				
	.	Section	Score	(/18	8)
Section 3: Added Value of the Project The project		A(0)	B(3)	C(5)	D(7)
7.	has provided clear evidence of a local need that is not met by current or planned provision				
8.	provides specific, measurable, and realistic targets that clearly address the chosen theme.				
9.	provides a robust and realistic plan for sustaining the project after the period of grant aid.				
10.	has clearly identified methods and structures to increase participation and/or increase the number of beneficiaries.				
	Total Score (/61) :	Section	Score	(/2	8)